

Report of the Finance and Audit Committee

Topics



- Financial Matters
- Transition from the Administrative Services Agreement with WHO
- Resource Mobilization



Financial Matters

(Part 1 of FAC Report)

- 2006 Budget Performance and Audited Financial Statements
- Development of a Budget Framework
- Amendments to policy following establishment of Rolling Continuation Channel

2006 Budget Performance



Operating	Expenses
-----------	-----------------

Secretariat Expenses
LFA Services
Sub-total
Efficiency target
Total Operating Expenses

Januar	y-Dec 2006	(12 month	ıs)
Actual \$m	Budget \$m	Varia \$m	nce As % of budget
52.0	66.2	14.2	21%
23.9	22.8	(1.1)	-5%
75.9	89.0	13.1	15%
0.0	(2.5)	(2.5)	
75.9	86.5	10.6	12%

_	
	Actual Jan-Dec as % of Year's Budget
	79%
	105%
	85%
	88%

- Spent \$10.6m (12%) less than budget
- Operating expenses at \$76m were fully covered by investment income of \$126m

Budget Variances



LFA Fees \$1.1m (5%) more than budget

 Spent \$2.9m less than estimated, which is \$1.1m less than the \$4m savings target (as advised to 14th BM)

Secretariat Expenses \$14.2m (21%) less than budget

- \$9.0m saving on staff costs, professional fees and travel
- \$3.4m saving on office rent, infrastructure and utilities, and communication expenses
- \$1.0m contingency not needed
- \$0.8m donations received towards operating expenses Significant factor: new staff starting later than planned, prior to strengthening of HR team in 2006
- >> Overall saving of \$10.6m (12% of total budget) after meeting the \$2.5m efficiency target

Operating expense ratios



Key Ratios	2004	2005		2006
Operating Expenses as % of:				
Total Expenditure	4.9%	3.8%	-	4.0%
Grant Disbursements	7.0%	5.8%		5.8%
Grants Under Management	2.2%	1.7%		1.4%
Operating Expenses per Active Grant	\$241k	\$222k	→	\$222k

• 2006 key ratios are (almost) static, compared to 2005

Achievement of KPIs for 2006



Of the 15 KPIs set by the Board for 2006:

7 at 100%+

3 at 90% - 99%

3 at 85% - 89%

1 at 78%

(1 to be measured by staff survey in April 2007)

FAC observation: timely to review and refine KPIs especially with regard to grant management indicators

2006 Audited Financial Statements



- Audited by Ernst & Young:
 - "True and fair" opinion
 - Free of any qualification
- E&Y partner-in charge attended the FAC meeting, explained the audit processes and observations and responded to queries
- E&Y management letter was circulated to the FAC
- FAC agreed to recommend approval of the 2006 Audited Financial Statements

Decision Point 1



The Board approves the 2006 Financial Statements of the Global Fund which have been audited by Ernst & Young, attached as Annex 3 to the Report of the Finance and Audit Committee (GF/B15/8).

There are no material budgetary implications for this decision

Development of a Budget Framework



Background:

- 14th BM asked the FAC to implement its recommendation to establish a framework to guide consideration of Secretariat resource needs
- The framework should take account of an external evaluation of staffing structure and size (to be commissioned by the ED), and incorporate appropriate budgetary parameters

FAC observation:

 Since staffing needs are largely determined by activity levels that also influence LFA services and other expenses, the consultants' brief should include making recommendations on the budgetary parameters.

Development of a Budget Framework



Status:

- External evaluation to be initiated by ED
- Outputs likely to be available only by Sep/Oct 2007
- Can inform FAC review in Sep of 2008 budget
- Framework to fully drive budget for 2009 and beyond

Amendments to policy following establishment of RCC



Background

- 14th BM established Rolling Continuation Channel
- Asked FAC to recommend resultant amendments to Comprehensive Funding Policy and the Phase 2 Prioritization decision

FAC proposes a revised CFP that:

- Incorporates the changes requested by the 14th BM
- Also incorporates the decisions of the 7th BM regarding prioritization in resource constrained environments and the use of promissory notes
- Assumes RCC-II has priority over RCC-I

Order of Funding Priority



- 1. Phase 2 (& Continuation of Funding for Treatment)
- 2. RCC II (second 3 years of RCC)
- 3. RCC I (first 3 years of RCC)
- 4. Rounds-based proposals

Decision Point 2



- 1. The Board approves the amendments to the Comprehensive Funding Policy, as amended at the Thirteenth Board Meeting, as presented in Annex 5 to the Report of the Finance and Audit Committee (GF/B15/8).
- 2. The Board revokes the following decisions:
 - (1) The decisions made at the Seventh Board Meeting regarding prioritization of funding in resource-constrained environments (GF/B8/2 p. 13-14);
 - (2) The decision made at the Seventh Board Meeting regarding the criteria for considering promissory notes as assets (GF/B8/2, p. 16, decision point 6); and
 - (3) The decision points 1 and 2 entitled "Phase 2 Funding" made at the Eighth Board Meeting regarding prioritization of Phase 2 funding (GF/B9/2 p. 9-10).

There are no material budgetary implications for this decision

Transactions on bank account Investment briefing (by Trustee)



- FAC reviewed detailed list of individual transactions on Credit Suisse Bank account in 2006
- Trustee representatives briefed the FAC on investment options, in light of RMTT recommendation
- Investment return in 2006 was 4.54%



Transition from Administrative Services Agreement with WHO

(Part 3 of FAC Report)



Resource Mobilization

(Part 4 of FAC Report)



Office of the Inspector General

(Part 2 of FAC Report)

Report of the Inspector General a.i.

- Analysis of remaining issues from OIG report on audit of Credit Suisse bank account transactions
- Review of IG reporting lines and TOR
- Recruitment process for the IG
- Publications policy for OIG reports